

Public and Private Healthcare Organisations: a Socio-Technical Model for Identifying Cybersecurity Aspects



Speaker: Pasquale Mari Gemelli Policlinic Foundation-Italy Panacea Project Deputy Coordinator

ICEGOV-Paper Session 3: Security, Privacy and Ethics in Digital Governance 23 September 2020

> Funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme, under Grant Agreement no 826293







- 1. Introduction: why a model?
- 2. Approach: how the model was built?
- 3. Entities and taxonomies: what the model is?
- 4. Examples of application: how the model can be used?
- 5. Contribution to security regulation: how the model can help?

Appendix



1. Introduction: why a model? (1/2)

- The Socio-Technical Model described in the article and in this presentation has been set-up by the PANACEA Project, a Horizon 2020 funded project running from January 2019 to December 2021, aiming to deliver people-centric cybersecurity solutions in healthcare
- PANACEA will deliver a Solution Toolkit to ensure cybersecurity in three types of "objects"





1. Introduction: why a model? (2/2)

- In PANACEA we needed a descriptive model to
 - customize the PANACEA Toolkit to the Healthcare context
 - harmonize project operations and output with a common language across the 7 Tools, the 9 Work Packages and 44 Tasks, the 15 Partners
 - facilitate the adoption of the PANACEA Toolkit in existing hospital's cybersecurity systems
- In particular the model was needed to describe
 - the three "objects" in a manner relevant from the cybersecurity perspective and for the project
 - a cybersecurity system and the position of the PANACEA toolkit inside it
 - the relationship between the cybersecurity system and the three objects





- Healthcare providers attracts cybercrime because not only they are a rich source of valuable data, but they also have weak defences against cybercrime, due to
 - Dynamic Complexity (IT + Networked Medical Devices + thousands of access points and users; frequent deployment of new devices and sw applications)
 - Barriers to the adoption of security solutions (skill shortage, budget constraints,...).
 - Human error (due to overwhelming workload, strong culture of "patient care priority", ...)





2. Approach: how the model was built? (2/3)

The literature provides two approaches for building models of systems including both technological and organizational components.



Enterprise Architecture (EA). There are many versions of EAs Most represent an enterprise using five interconnected descriptive layers





Socio-Technical-Systems (STS). The EA approach misses a critical aspect: people and their formal relationship (structure). We adopted the STS approach because it fills this gap.



- Modelling was performed in five main steps:
 - Scope: state models' purpose and requirements (context, content, use, key variables);
 - Literature review: search for applicable modelling approaches, models or parts of models in literature;
 - 1st version: define tentative models and instantiation schemes, adopting when possible, descriptive components already available in the literature;
 - Validation: validate the models in real settings, using the instantiation schemes;
 - Final version: fine-tune and finalise the model.
- The validation has been performed by instantiating the models to describe real systems
 - the healthcare organisation and cybersecurity system of
 - Gemelli Hospital, Rome, Italy, private
 - 7th Health Region of Crete, Greece, public
 - South-South-West Hospital Group, Ireland, public
 - the lifecycle of a medical device (QTrobot, an assistive robot)
 - the lifecycle of a software application (Gemelli clinical trial).



3. Entities and taxonomies: what the model is? (1/4)

We identified

- four inter-related models:
 - A Healthcare Organization
 - A Medical Device Lifecycle
 - An Information System Lifecycle
 - A Cybersecurity system (encompassing Technical and non-Technical measures)
- the relationships among them





3. Entities and taxonomies: what the model is? (2/4)

- Models are sociotechnical in nature and are described in terms of Entities, and Relationships.
- For each Entity there is a **Taxonomy**.
- Some taxonomies are taken from already existing sources (e.g. ENISA, NIST, ILO)
- Some have been built by the project (e.g. HCOM organizational functions and technological services; the catalogue of cybersecurity technologies).





3. Entities and taxonomies: what the model is? (3/4)

		Specialist Medi
1. Territorial health functions	2. Hospital health functions	Nurses
1.1. Prevention	2.1. Emergency	Paramedical pr
1.2. Diagnosis	2.2. Anaesthesia	Medical and Ph
1.3. Assistance	2.3. Intensive therapy	Ambulance Wo
1.4. Emergency	2.4. Surgery	Personal care w
1.5. Legal and tax medicine	2.5. Medicine	Other Heath rol
1.6. Drug pharmaceutics	2.6. Rehabilitation	Non-health Roles
1.10. Other territorial functions	2.7. Diagnostic services	Technical roles
3. Support functions	2.8. Histopathology	Administrative
3.1.Operation Support functions	2.9. Outpatient Clinics	Administrative f
3.2. Administrative support functions	2.10 Drug pharmaceutics	Medical Secreta
3.3.Technical support functions	2.11.Blood banks	Information and
3.4. Communication functions	2.12.Ethical Committee	Other non-healt
3.5. Education and lifelong Learning	2.13. Other hospital functions	External roles
3.6. Quality assurance		Patients
3.7. Other support functions		Suppliers
	Health Care	
	Health Care	
	Organization N	lodel Roles
	Organization	
	Functions	
		Processo

	Health services Managers	
	Health Roles	
	Generalist Medical Practitioners	
	Specialist Medical Practitioners	
nctions	Nurses	_
	Paramedical practitioners	Iy
	Medical and Pharmaceutical Technicians	Applica
rapy	Ambulance Workers	
	Personal care workers in Health Services	
	Other Heath roles	
n	Non-health Roles	
ervices	Technical roles	
gy	Administrative back-office roles	
linics	Administrative front-office roles	Devi
aceutics	Medical Secretaries	
	Information and Communications Technology roles	
mittee	Other non-health roles	
al functions	External roles	
	Patients	
	Suppliers	
		H I
Health Care		
Organization Model	Roles	
 Organizational 	Technological	
Functions	Services	
	Processes	

Managers

HCOM 1st and 2nd level taxonomies

	Туре	Area					
	Applications	Clinical services					
		Internet accessible services					
		Corporate services					
		Facility management services					
		Data services					
		Infrastructure services					
	Devices	Networked medical devices					
		Identification devices					
		Access devices					
		Infrastructure					
1	Health Proc	resses					
	Hospita	al workflows					
	Inter-ho	ospital medical consultations					
	Territor	Territorial workflows					
	Cross-t	Cross-border exchange of patient related data					
)	Emerge	Emergency pre-hospital workflows					
	Administrat	Administrative/Technical processes					
	Patient	billing					
	Central	Centralized processes					
	In-Hosp	pital processes					



3. Entities and taxonomies: what the model is? (4/4)

			CSHCM					
	Role Category		Cybersecurity — — —					
	here eutogery		Model C-Roles		1 st level taxonomies			
	Security Provision		C-Technological					
	Operate and Maintain		Services		Te	echnical 🚽 🗕 💶 🔤 🔤		
	Oversee and Govern		Services		Μ	leasures		
	Brotect and Defend		C-Process	ses				Socurity moasure class
				CELICIA				Security measure class
	Analyze			CSHCIVI	#	CSHCM Processes	Gov	ernance
	Collect and Operate			Functions			"NI	daina" auidelinee
	Investigate				1	Asset Management	NUC	aging guidennes
#	Cyber-Technology Service		Cyber-Technology Service (continued)		2	Business Environment Assessment	Com	nmunication plans
1	Anti-Virus System (AV)	22	Image recovery System	IDENTIFY	3	Governance	Incu	ranco schomos
2	Application tampering detection System	23	Incident management System		4	Risk Assessment	insu	
3	Audit trail System	24	Interactive Application Security Testing System (IAST)		5	Risk Management Strategy	Secu	urity Risk Management Plan
4	Authentication services	25	Intrusion Detection System (IDS)		6	Access Control	Star	dard Operating Procedures
5	Configuration and systems management	26	Intrusion Prevention System (IPS)		7	Awareness and Training	Stall	idard Operating Procedures
6	Data encryption System	27	Netflow System	DDOTECT	8	Data Security	Tech	nnical processes and/or procedures
7	Data loss prevention System (DLP)	28	Physical Access behavioural analytics System	PROTECT	9	Information Protection Processes and	Trait	ning and/or adjugation packages
8	Data monitoring System	29	Physical access control system		10	Maintenance	Trail	ning and/or education packages
9	Data recovery System (backup/restore)	30	Risk Assessment System (RAS)		11	Protective Technology		
10	Device authentication System	31	Runtime Application Self-Protection Service (RASP)		12	Anomalies and Events		
11	Device blacklisting System	32	Secure Remote Access System (SRA)	DETECT	13	Security Continuous Monitoring		
12	Device identification System	33	Security By Design Assessment System (SDAS)		14	Detection Processes		
13	Dynamic Application Security Testing System (DAST)	34	Static Application Security Testing System (SAST)		15	Response Planning		
14	Endpoint control System	35	Structural vulnerability assessment System		15	Communications		
15	Factory reset System	36	Threat analysis System	DECDOND	10	Analysis		
16	Firewall System (FW)	37	Threat detection System	RESPOND	17	Mitigation		
17	Firmware reset System	38	User behavioural analytics System		18			
18	Full Packet Capture System (PCAP)	39	User identification system		19	Deseuer: Diensing		
19	Host Intrusion Prevention System (HISP)	40	Video surveillance System		20	Recovery Planning		
20	Identification and authentication System	41	Web application firewall System (WAF)	RECOVER	21	Improvements		
21	Identity and access management System (IAM)	42	Web fraud detection System		22	Communications		



4. Examples of application: how the model can be used? → Example 1: A framework for an automated holistic risk assessment (1/2)

- PANACEA will deliver a "Dynamic Risk Assessment & Mitigation tool", a software helping to perform risk assessment evaluation and to identify and prioritize mitigation measures, both technical and non-technical
- The tool includes a representation of the reality to be assessed. It is based on the sociotechnical model and is structured in four interconnected layers
 - Network layer
 - Human layer
 - Access layer
 - Process layer
- Each layer is a network of nodes and edges, with attributes qualifying them, e.g., in terms of risk profile.
- The representation allows to simulate attack paths to identify vulnerable nodes and recommend mitigation measures



- Nodes are services/processes (e.g., access patient data)
- Edges represent a functional dependency between two business services



Human Layer Every Node is a human Every Edge represents the possibility to let human x interact with human y **Process Layer** Access Lave **Every Node** represents a digital identity/credential Every Edge from Access to Network **Network Layer** Every Node is a Device represents the possibility to access Every Edge represents a Device the possibility to reach Device d_i from d_i



4. Examples of application: how the model can be used? → Example 2: Localization of criticality

- The table below describes the Cybersecurity criticality in one of the three end-user organizations,
 - for the **Emergency workflow process**
 - which involves five Roles and three Technology Services.
- Criticality is estimated using two scales:
 - Access score (1=very low. 5=very high): how much the role can do (Read, Read + Write, Read + Authorize, Read + Write + Authorize)
 - Impact score

 (A=very low impact,
 E=very high impact):
 negative effect on
 the process in case
 of malicious intent

Technology services	Roles							
used in the Emergency workflow	Nursing Professionals	Specialist Medical Practitioner	Paramedical Practitioner	Business and Administration Professional	Medical Imaging Technician			
Admission Transfer Discharge	5E	1E	1E	5E				
Radiology Picture Archiving Communication System	2 E	5E			5E			
Emergency Medical Record	2D	4E	4 E	1B				



4. Examples of application: how the model can be used? → Example 3: Cyber Defence Matrix

The Matrix shows the	As	sets	Cybersecurity Processes (according to NIST framework)							
portfolio of	Asset	ENISA asset	Structural awareness and defence					Situational awareness and defence		
Cybersecurity	categories	types		Identify		Protect		Detect	Respond	Recover
ervices and its		Networked medical devices (e.g. IOMT)					10. Heat Intrusion Provention			17 Firmware reset System
coverage in a given		Identification systems Desktop and mobile devices	-	5. Configuration and systems management System	12. Device identification System	21 Identity and access management System (IAM) 32 Secure Remote Access System (SRA)	System (HISP) 1. Anti Virus System (AV)		11 Device blacklisting System	22 Image recovery System
Hospital).	Devices	Mobile Client devices (BYOD)						14 Endpoint control System 37 Threat detection System		15 Factory reset Sytem
The concept of Cyber		Remote care system assets					36 Threat analysis System 35 Structural vulnerability assessment System 10 Device authentication System	2 Application tampering detection System 42 Web fraud detection System		17 Firmware reset System
Defence Matrix has been created by the DWASP (Open Web Application Security) Project.	Applications	Interconnected information systems	30. Risk Assessment System (RAS)		33. Security By Design Assessment System (SDAS)		34 Static Application Security Testing System(SAST) 13 Dynamic Application Security Testing System (DAST) 24 Interactive Application Security Testing System (IAST) 31 Runtime Application Self- Protection Service(RASP) 41 Web application firewall System (WAF)			
PANACEA project has contextualized the	Networks	Networking equipment			27. Netflow System		16 Firewall (FW) 26 Intrusion Prevention System (IPS)	25 Intrusion Detection System (IDS)	18 Full Pack Capture System (PCAP)	
Assets to the Healthcare sector	Data	Data					6 Data encryption System 7 Data loss prevention System (DLP)	8 Data monitoring System		9 Data recovery System (backup/restore)
and produced a	Users	Identification systems		20. Identification and authentication System		3 Audit trail System		38 User behavioral analytics System		
atalogue of 42	infrastructure	Building and facilities		39. User iden	tication system	29. Physical acc	ess control system	28 Phyisical Access behaviorial analytics System		
Cybersecurity echnological services	Vendors (product suppliers) Service suppliers	Interconnected information systems		4. Authentic	ation services			40 video surveilance system		



5. Contribution to security regulation: how the model can help?

In agreement with the aim of this Session 3 of ICEGOV Conference, I now answer following question: *How this Socio-technical model can enhance* the ability of governments to ensure privacy, safety and security of its citizens, through traditional regulatory frameworks, such as GDPR or ISO 27000 and the sort, or self-regulating approaches, so that e-health is an advantage and not a threat?

Regulatory frameworks

PANACEA model provides a taxonomy to standardize e-Health and Cybersecurity in domains such as

- Healthcare-specific Controls for essential services of cybersecurity frameworks (e.g. ISO 27001 and NIST framework), to apply EU Directive 2016/1148
- IPS standards, e.g. prEN 17269 Health informatics The Patient Summary for Unscheduled, Cross-border Care; FprCEN/TS 17288 Health informatics - The International Patient Summary: Guidance for European Implementation Technical Specification
- ISO/TC 215 Privacy and Security Standards, e.g. ISO/IS 27799 Information security management in health using ISO/IEC 27002

Self-Regulatory approaches

PANACEA model provides a standard "map" to

- Compare cybersecurity coverage of technical and non-technical solutions offered to Healthcare (HC) organizations
- Describe targets of cyberattacks also in terms of social network in the HC context
- Transfer lesson learned in the cybersecurity domain for HC organizations
- Speak non-technical language with non-IT top managers and staff in HC organizations





- Panacea Project: <u>www.panacearesearch.eu</u>
- Article:
 - Title: Public and private healthcare organisations: a socio-technical model for identifying cybersecurity aspects
 - Authors
 - Kalliopi Anastasopoulou, 7th Healthcare Region of Crete Ministry of Health, Greece, <u>kanastasopoulou@hc-crete.gr</u>
 - Pasquale Mari, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli, Italy, pasqualemari3@gmail.com
 - Aimilia Magkanaraki, 7th Healthcare Region of Crete Ministry of Health, Greece, <u>amagkanaraki@hc-crete.gr</u>
 - Emmanouil G. Spanakis, Foundation for Research and Technology Hellas, Greece, <u>spanakis@ics.forth.gr</u>
 - Matteo Merialdo. RHEA GROUP, Belgium, <u>m.merialdo@rheagroup.com</u>
 - Vangelis Sakkalis, Foundation for Research and Technology Hellas, Greece, <u>sakkalis@ics.forth.gr</u>
 - Sabina Magalini, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli, Italy. sabina.magalini@unicatt.it
- A detailed description of the models is provided in a document delivered by the Panacea Project:
 - **Title:** D1.1 Models of health services and of medical device lifecycle for cybersecurity
 - Link:

https://www.panacearesearch.eu/sites/default/files/PANACEA_D1.1_Models%20of%20health%20services%20and%20of %20medical%20device%20lifecycle%20for%20cybersecurity%20v1.0_0.pdf

• Funding: PANACEA Project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Grant Agreement no 826293.



Thank you! Questions?!



